

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SHEILA SALEHIAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, NEVADA STATE  
TREASURER'S OFFICE; ZACH CONINE,  
STATE TREASURER; DOES 1-50; AND  
ROE CORPORATIONS 1-50,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:21-cv-01512-GMN-NJK

**ORDER**

[Docket No. 4]

Pending before the Court is the Parties' joint stipulation for an extension of time for the Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's first amended complaint. Docket No. 4. For good cause shown<sup>1</sup>, the motion is hereby **GRANTED** and the deadline for Defendants to file a response to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint is **RESET** to September 16, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 17, 2021

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> To be clear, the Court is not swayed by attestations from defense counsel of competing demands on counsel's time as good cause. *See, e.g., Olesczuk v. Citizens One Home Loans*, 2016 U.S. Lexis 153342, at \*6-7 n.3 (D. Nev. Nov. 4, 2016) ("Of course, counsel must also strive to ensure that they are not taking on a caseload beyond what they are prepared to handle within the deadlines set by the Court"). Nonetheless, the Court otherwise finds good cause for the extension.